Table of Contents

Events of September 11 .....

Preface **P-1**

Federal EIS Process P-2
Organization of the Final EIS P-2

Chapter 1 Purpose and Need, Alternatives and Proposed Action **1-1**

1.1 Purpose and Need 1-1
1.2 How Logan Operates 1-5
1.2.1 The Airfield Layout 1-5
1.2.2 Runway Use Configurations 1-5
1.3 Passenger Volumes and Aircraft Operations 1-15
1.3.1 Year 2000 Activity and Historic Trends 1-15
1.3.2 Forecast Aircraft Operations and Passenger Levels 1-16
1.3.3 Logan's Demand Profile 1-20
1.4 Delays at Logan 1-22
1.4.1 Delays at Logan and Other U.S. Airports 1-22
1.5 Logan's Role in the Regional Economy 1-27
1.5.1 Boston's Need for Efficient Air Travel 1-27
1.5.2 Tourism and the Regional Economy 1-28
1.5.3 Logan is a Major Employer and Economic Catalyst 1-28
1.6 Cost of Delay to Logan Users 1-30
1.6.1 Cost of Delay to Airlines 1-30
1.6.2 Cost of Delay to Airline Passengers 1-30
1.6.3 Total Cost of Delay 1-30
1.7 Improvement Concepts and Alternatives Analysis 1-31
1.8 Proposed Action 1-32
1.8.1 Unidirectional Runway 14/32 1-32
1.8.2 Taxiway Improvements 1-33
1.8.3 Reduced Approach Minimums for Runways 15R, 22L, 27, and 33L 1-36
1.9 Delay Modeling Results 1-38
1.10 Status of Permits Required 1-42
1.11 Conclusion 1-44

Chapter 2 Affected Environment/ Environmental Consequences 2-1

2.1 Affected Environment (Existing Conditions) 2-1
2.1.1 Noise/Land Use 2-2
2.1.2 Air Quality/Odors 2-2
2.1.3 Drainage and Water Quality 2-6
2.1.4 Soils 2-6
2.1.5 Habitat 2-7
2.1.6 Endangered and Threatened Species 2-7
2.1.7 Coastal Zone Management Consistency 2-7
2.1.8 Parklands and Historical Resources 2-7
2.1.9 Other Environmental Categories 2-7
2.2 Environmental Consequences 2-13
2.2.1 Noise/Land Use 2-13
2.2.2 Social Impacts 2-20
2.2.3 Air Quality Benefits 2-23
2.2.4 Water Quality 2-23
2.2.5 Soils 2-23
2.2.6 Biotic Communities 2-24
2.2.7 Coastal Zone 2-24
2.2.8 Historical Resources 2-24
2.2.9 Other Section 4(f) Resources 2-25
2.2.10 Environmental Justice 2-25
2.2.11 Construction 2-26
2.2.12 Adverse Impacts Which Cannot Be Avoided 2-26
2.2.13 Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity 2-27
2.2.14 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 2-27
2.3 Cumulative Impacts 2-28
2.3.1 Background on the Cumulative Analysis of Logan Airport Projects 2-28
2.3.2 Relationship of the GEIR/ESPR Process to the Logan Airside Project 2-30
2.3.3 Relationship of the Logan Airside Project To Other Projects 2-32
2.3.4 Long-term Cumulative Effects 2-41
2.3.5 Short-Term Construction Impacts 2-44

Chapter 3 Project Issues **3-1**

3.1 Regionalization of the Air Transportation System in New England 3-4
3.1.1 Regional Transportation Planning 3-5
3.1.2 Impacts of Regional Transportation Options on Logan Demand 3-7
3.1.3 Regional Aviation System Planning Update 3-10
3.1.4 Regional Solutions Are Not a Substitute for Airside Improvements at Logan 3-11
3.1.5 Conclusion 3-12
3.2 Demand Management 3-13
3.3 Utility of 5,000 Foot Unidirectional Runway 14/32 3-17
3.3.1 Regional Jet Trends 3-18
3.3.2 Forecasts of Future Regional Jet Activity 3-20
3.3.3 Regional Jet Performance Characteristics 3-22
3.3.4 Experience with RJs at Other Airports 3-24
3.3.4.1 Philadelphia 3-24
3.3.4.2 Baltimore 3-24
3.3.4.3 Cleveland 3-25
3.3.4.4 Conclusion 3-25
3.3.5 Benefits of Runway 14/32 Under RJ Fleet Scenarios 3-25
3.3.5.1 Sensitivity Analysis 3-25
3.3.6 Conclusion 3-27
3.4 Near-Term RJ Fleet Scenario 3-29
3.4.1 29M RJ Fleet 3-29
3.4.2 Operational Analysis 3-30
3.4.3 Environmental Assessment 3-31
3.4.3.1 Air Quality 3-32
3.4.3.2 Noise 3-33
3.4.4 Conclusion 3-34
3.5 Unidirectional Runway 14/32 and its Potential to Induce Demand 3-35
3.5.1 Comparing Infrastructure Improvements at Dallas-Fort Worth to the Addition of Runway 14/32 at Logan 3-36
3.5.2 Comparing the Impact of AIR-21 at LaGuardia to the Impact of Constructing Runway 14/32 at Logan 3-37
3.5.3 Conclusions 3-39
3.6 Census 2000 Update 3-40
3.6.1 1990 and 2000 Census Differences 3-40
3.6.2 Updated Noise Exposed Population Analysis Using Census 2000 Data 3-41
3.6.2.1 Total Noise Affected Population 3-41
3.6.2.2 Noise Affected Populations by Community 3-42
3.6.2.3 Conclusion of Noise Impacts 3-46
3.6.3 Updated Environmental Justice Analysis Using Census 2000 Data 3-47
3.6.3.1 Introduction 3-47

3.6.3.2 Methodology 3-48
3.6.3.3 Definitions 3-48
3.6.3.4 Disproportionately High and Adverse Impacts 3-49
3.6.3.5 Baseline Demographic Characteristics 3-49
3.6.3.6 Total Population 3-50
3.6.3.7 Minority Population 3-50
3.6.3.8 Noise Impacts To The Census 2000 Populations 3-53
3.6.3.9 Total Noise Affected Population within the 65 dB DNL Contour 3-53
3.6.3.10 Noise Affected Minority Population within the 65 dB DNL Contour 3-58
3.6.3.11 Total Noise Affected Population within the 60 dB DNL Contour 3-58
3.6.3.12 Noise Affected Minority Population within the 60 dB DNL Contour 3-64
3.6.3.13 Conclusion for Environmental Justice 3-65
3.7 Additional Independent Analysis of Key Technical Issues 3-67
3.7.1 Topic 1 – Regional Jet Forecasts 3-68
3.7-2 Topic 2 – Runway Usage for Regional Jets 3-69
3.7-3 Topic 3 – Delay Results 3-69
3.7.4 Topic 4 – Limited Capacity Analysis 3-71
3.7.5 Conclusions 3-75
3.8 Evaluation of a Wind Restriction on Runway 14/32 3-77
3.8.1 Methodology and Assumptions for the Northwest Wind Restriction Analysis 3-78
3.8.1.1 Wind Direction 3-78
3.8.1.2 Wind Speed 3-79
3.8.1.3 Fleet Scenarios 3-80
3.8.2 Delay Reduction with a Northwest Wind Restriction 3-81
3.8.3 Runway Utilization Patterns with a Northwest Wind Restriction 3-82
3.8.3.1 Runway 27 Departures 3-82
3.8.3.2 Runway 33L Departures 3-83
3.8.3.3 Utilization of Other Runways 3-84
3.8.4 Noise Impacts with a Northwest Wind Restriction 3-87
3.8.4.1 Cumulative Noise Exposure Contours 3-88
3.8.4.2 Cumulative Noise Exposed Population 3-97
3.8.5 Summary of the Northwest Wind Restriction Analysis 3-104
3.8.6 Analysis of a Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction 3-105
3.8.6.1 Methodology for the Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction Analysis 3-105
3.8.6.2 Delay Reduction with a Northwest/Southeast Restriction 3-106
3.8.6.3 Runway Utilization Patterns with a Northwest/Southeast Restriction 3-107
3.8.6.4 Noise Impacts with a Northwest/Southeast Restriction 3-108
3.8.6.5 Other Environmental Impacts 3-122
3.8.7 Conclusions 3-133

3.9 Safety Benefits of the Taxiway Improvements and Reduced Minimums 3-135
3.9.1 Runway Incursions 3-135
3.9.1.1 Previous Studies 3-136
3.9.2 Centerfield Taxiway 3-138
3.9.3 Other Taxiway Improvements 3-147
3.9.3.1 Taxiway November Realignment 3-147
3.9.3.2 Taxiway Delta Extension 3-147
3.9.3.3 Southwest Taxiway System Reconfiguration 3-148
3.9.4 Reduced Minimums 3-148
3.9.5 Conclusion 3-148
3.10 Impacts of the Centerfield Taxiway 3-149
3.10.1 Environmental Impacts and Benefits of the Centerfield Taxiway 3-149
3.10.1.1 Delay Reduction 3-149
3.10.1.2 Ground Noise 3-150
3.10.1.3 Air Quality 3-151
3.10.1.4 Other Environmental Impacts 3-152
3.10.1.5 Interaction with Runway 14/32 and Other Improvements 3-153
3.10.2 Conclusion 3-154
3.11 Runway Capacity and Delay Modeling 3-155
3.11.1 Forecasts of Passengers and Operations 3-156
3.11.2 Runway Capacity Modeling 3-158
3.11.3 Runway Delay Modeling 3-160
3.12 Consistency of Airside Delay Estimates with Published Delay Statistics 3-162
3.12.1 Available Delay Statistics Validate the DELAYSIM Modeling 3-163
3.12.1.1 Airline Service Quality Report (ASQP) 3-163
3.12.1.2 FAA Aviation System Performance Metrics (ASPM) 3-164
3.12.1.3 FAA Capacity Enhancement Task Force 3-165
3.12.1.4 Consolidated Operations and Delay Analysis System (CODAS) 3-165
3.12.2 Conclusion 3-171

Chapter 4 Mitigation 4-1

4.1 Project Specific Mitigation 4-2
4.1.1 Runway 14/32 Unidirectional Limitation 4-2
4.1.2 Wind Restriction on runway 14/32 ..... 4.3
4.1.3 Project-Specific Residential Sound Insulation 4-5
4.1.4 Tenant Relocation Assistance 4-6
4.1.5 Upland Sandpiper Habitat Enhancement Plan 4-7
4.1.6 Water Resources Protection 4-7
4.1.7 General Construction Mitigation 4-8
4.2 Other Environmentally Beneficial Actions 4-10
4.2.1 New Noise Study 4-10
4.2.2 Review of Taxiway Operations North of Runway 15R/33L 4-11
4.2.3 PRAS Monitoring and Reporting 4-14
4.2.4 Demand Management Program 4-14

4.2.5 Voluntary Reduction in Use of Aircraft with Hushkits 4-15
4.2.6 Regional Transportation Program 4-15
4.2.7 New England Regional Aviation System Plan Study 4-16
4.2.8 Single-Engine Taxi Procedures 4-17
4.2.9 Transportation Management Association (TMA) 4-17

Chapter 5 FAA Determinations and Approvals 5-1

5.1 FAA Determinations 5-1
5.1.1 Consistency with Existing Plans for Development of the Area 5-1
5.1.2 Fair Consideration has been given to the Interests of Communities in or Near the Project Location 5-2
5.1.3 Restriction of Land Use in the Vicinity of the Airport Purposes Compatible with Airport Operations 5-3
5.1.4 FAA's Non-Applicability Finding with Respect to Clean Air Act Conformity 5-4
5.1.5 Appropriate Air and Water Quality Requirements Will Be Satisfied 5-5
5.1.6 Determination for New Runway Location 5-6
5.1.7 Department of Transportation Section 4(f), Policy on Lands, Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges and Historic Sites 5-6
5.1.8 Consistency with the Approved State Coastal Zone Management Program 5-6
5.2 FAA Approval Declaration 5-6

Chapter 6 Preparers and Final EIS Distribution 6-1

6.1 List Of Preparers 6-1
6.2. EIS Distribution 6-3

Index .....

Appendices A to J .....

Tables

Table No.	Description	Page
P-1	Final EIS Document Organization	P-3
P-2	Airside Project Reference Table to Contents of the Airside Project Draft EIS/EIR and Supplemental DEIS/FEIR	P-7
P-3	Location of FAA Order 5050.4A and CEQ Regulations Information	P-10
1.1-1	Purpose of Airside Improvement Concepts	1-2
1.1-2	Logan Airside Improvements Alternative Packages	1-4
1.3-1	Air Passengers, Aircraft Operations, and Freight: 1980 to 2000	1-17
1.3-2	Passenger and Aircraft Operations Levels Presented in the Final EIS	1-20
1.4-1	Logan's Airside Delay Rank Among U.S. Airports	1-23
1.4-2	FAA OPSNET: 2000 Delay Ranking for Ten of the Busiest U.S. Airports	1-24
1.4-3	U.S. DOT Air Travel Consumer Report: Percentage of Flights Delayed by 15 Minutes or More at Ten Busy U.S. Airports in 2000	1-25
1.4-4	FAA CODAS: Average Delay by Phase of Flight at the 29 Large Hub Airports (Minutes) – CY 2000	1-26
1.4-5	Comparison of Airside Project Modeled Delays for Logan Airport	1-27
1.5-1	Share of Employees by Industry Sector	1-28
1.5-2	Estimated Economic Impact of Logan - Fiscal Year 1998	1-29
1.7-1	Concepts for Logan Airside Improvements	1-31
1.8-1	Existing and Proposed ILS Minimums for Runways 15R, 22L, 27, and 33L	1-38
1.9-1	Airside EIS Delay Reduction Benefits by Fleet Scenario	1-41
1.10-1	Project Permits, Approvals, and Review Actions for the Airside Project	1-43
2.1-1	Noise-Exposed Population by Community - 1998 and 1999	2-5
2.1-2	Estimated Emissions (in kg/day) at Logan Airport	2-6
2.2-1	Summary of Noise-Exposed Population	2-14
2.2-2	Change in DNL Values from Aircraft Ground Operations, by Noise Monitoring Site, Preferred Alternative vs. No Action	2-19
2.2-3	Comparison of Noise-Exposed Population for the No Action and Preferred Alternatives – 37.5M High RJ Fleet Scenario	2-20
2.3-1	Logan Airport Projects and Planning Concepts	2-34
2.3-2	Cumulative Air Quality - Year 2010 (Emissions in kg/day)	2-44
2.3-3	Estimated Design/Construction Costs of the Preferred Alternative by Construction Element ($ millions)	2-45

3.1-1	New England Regional Transportation Planning Studies	3-6
3.3-1	Current and Future Mix of Regional Jets at Logan	3-21
3.3-2	Regional Jet Landing Distances	3-22
3.3-3	RJ Assumptions for the 37.5M High RJ Sensitivity Analysis	3-26
3.3-4	Results of the 37.5M High RJ Sensitivity Analysis	3-27
3.4-1	Comparison of Near-Term Logan Fleet Scenarios (Annual Operations in 000s)	3-30
3.4-2	Total Hours of Runway Delay by Alternative and Fleet Scenario	3-31
3.4-3	Distribution of Jet Operations by Operating Direction	3-31
3.4-4	Comparison of 29M RJ Scenario Air Quality Inventory with Other Fleets	3-32
3.4-5	Comparison of Key Fleet Indicators for the 29M RJ Scenario	3-33
3.6-1	Summary of Total Population Impacts – Comparison of Preferred Alternative and No Action Using 1990 and 2000 Census Data	3-42
3.6-2	29M Low Population Impacts by Community – Preferred Alternative vs. No Action Using 1990 and 2000 Census Data	3-43
3.6-3	37.5M High Population Impacts by Community – Preferred Alternative vs. No Action Using 1990 and 2000 Census Data	3-44
3.6-4	Chelsea Population Impacts – Preferred Alternative vs. No Action, Using 1990 and 2000 Census Data	3-45
3.6-5	Examination of Changes in Census Geography in Chelsea – 37.5M High Preferred Alternative 65 dB DNL Populations Using 1990 and 2000 Censuses	3-46
3.6-6	Minority Population of Political Jurisdictions within the 65dB DNL Noise Contour	3-51
3.6-7	Minority Population of Political Jurisdictions within the 60dB DNL Noise Contour	3-51
3.6-8	Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the No Action Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-54
3.6-9	Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the Preferred Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-55
3.6-10	Comparison of the 1990-2000 Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour for the No-Action Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-56
3.6-11	Comparison of the 1990-2000 Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour for the Preferred Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-57
3.6-12	Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the No Action Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-60
3.6-13	Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the Preferred Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario	3-63

3.6-14 Comparison of the 1990-2000 Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour for the No-Action Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario 3-64

3.6-15 Comparison of the 1990-2000 Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour for the Preferred Alternative of the 29 Million Low Fleet Scenario 3-65

3.7-1 Share of Logan Historic and Forecast Traffic (Percent of Total Fleet) 3-68

3.7-2 Distribution of Regional Jets at Logan by Type (Percent of RJ Fleet) 3-68

3.7-3 Analysis of Alternative Capacity Assumptions – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet 3-74

3.8-1 Total Noise Exposed Population with Various Northwest Wind Restrictions - 3-97

3.8-2 Noise Exposed Population by Town or Neighborhood with Various Northwest Wind Restrictions - 3-100

3.8-3 Noise Exposed Housing Units by Town or Neighborhood with Various Northwest Wind Restrictions - 3-102

3.8-4 Runway Utilization with a Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet Scenario 3-108

3.8-5 Total Noise Exposed Population with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction – 29M Low Fleet Scenario ... 3-113

3.8-6 Noise Exposed Population by Town or Neighborhood with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction - 29M Low Fleet Scenario 3-114

3.8-7 Noise Exposed Housing Units by Town or Neighborhood with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction - 29M Low Fleet Scenario 3-117

3.8-8 Noise Exposed Population by Town or Neighborhood with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet Scenario 3-121

3.8-9 Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the 29M Low No Action Alternative 3-126

3.8-10 Estimated Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the 29M Low Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction 3-127

3.8-11 Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the 29M Low No Action Alternative 3-128

3.8-12 Estimated Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 2000) for the 29M Low Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction 3-129

3.8-13 Estimated Low-Income Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 1990) for the 29M Low No Action Alternative 3-130

3.8-14 Estimated Low-Income Population within the 65 dB Contour (Census 1990) for the 29M Low Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot

	Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction	3-131
3.8-15	Estimated Low-Income Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 1990) for the 29M Low No Action Alternative	3-132
3.8-16	Estimated Low-Income Population within the 60 dB Contour (Census 1990) for the 29M Low Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction	3-133
3.9-1	Centerfield Taxiway Safety and Efficiency Enhancements	3-140
3.10-1	Delay Reduction Benefits of All Taxiway Improvements	3-150
3.10-2	Ground Noise Impacts of Taxiway Improvements	3-151
3.10-3	Air Quality Impacts of Taxiway Improvements	3-152
3.10-4	Reduction in Average Taxiway Delays by Primary Operating Direction	3-153
3.12-1	Comparison of Airside Modeled Delays with ASQP Delays	3-164
3.12-2	Comparison of Airside Modeled Delays with ASPM Delays at Logan for 1998	3-165
3.12-3	Cancelled Flights at U.S. Large Hub Airports	3-167
3.12-4	Logan Flight Cancellations by Average Daily Delay	3-168
3.12-5	CODAS Delay Rankings for Large Hub Airports – CY 2000	3-169
4.1	Project Specific Mitigation Measures	4-1
4.2	Environmentally Beneficial Actions	4-2
4.1-1	Proposed Sound Insulation Mitigation	4-4

Figures

Figure No.	Description	Page
1.2-1	Existing Logan Airfield Runway Layout	1-7
1.2-2	Typical Northeast Wind Configuration Using Runway 4L, Runway 4R and Runway 9	1-8
1.2-3	Typical Southwest Wind Configuration Using Runway 22L, Runway 22R and Runway 27	1-9
1.2-4	Typical East/Southeast Wind Configuration Using Runway 15R, Runway 15L and Runway 9	1-10
1.2-5	Typical Moderate Northwest Wind Configuration Using Runway 27 and Runway 33L	1-11
1.2-6	Typical Strong Northwest Wind Configuration Using Runway 33L	1-12
1.2-7	Typical Yearly Wind Direction at Logan	1-13
1.3-1	Logan Airside Passenger and Fleet Forecasts – Annual Operations by Fleet Scenario	1-16
1.3-2	High Demand Fleet Forecasts Constrained by Demand Management	1-19
1.3-3	Logan's Demand Profile *–1993 vs. 2000 vs. 37.5M High (3 Hour Maximum Average)**	1-21
1.8-1	Preferred Alternative	1-35
1.9-1	Annual Hours of Runway-Related Delay Reduction — Preferred Alternative (Action) vs. No Action for Draft EIS/EIR Fleets	1-39
1.9-2	Annual Hours of Runway-Related Delay Reduction — Preferred Alternative (Action) vs. No Action for Supplemental DEIS/FEIR and Final EIS Regional Jet Fleets	1-40
1.9-3	Delay Reduction Benefits of Runway 14/32 for the 37.5M High RJ Fleet	1-41
2.1-1	1999 DNL Contours, Historic Conditions	2-3
2.1-1	1999 DNL Contours, Actual Conditions	2-4
2.1-2	Cultural Resources- East Boston, Winthrop	2-8
2.1-3	Cultural Resources- South Boston	2-9
2.1-4	Cultural Resources- Chelsea	2-10
2.1-5	Parklands	2-11
2.2-1	Day/Night Sound Levels-60, 65 and 70 DNL Contours, 29M Low, No Action and Preferred Alternatives	2-15

2.2-2 Day/Night Sound Levels-60, 65 and 70 DNL Contours, 37.5M High, No Action and Preferred Alternatives 2-17
2.2-3 Daylight/Night Sound Levels-60, 65 and 70 DNL Contours, 37.5M High RJ Fleet, No Action and Preferred Alternatives 2-21
2.2-7 Construction Sequence and Schedule 2-26

3.1-1 The Boston Regional Airport System 3-7
3.1-2 Distribution of New England Passenger Growth – Regional Airports vs. Logan 3-8
3.1-3 Logan's Passenger Demand is Becoming More Concentrated within I-495 3-9
3.1-4 Logan Airport Passenger Forecasts: Impact of Regional Transportation Options (Annual Passengers in Millions) 3-10
3.2-1 Comparison of August Weekday Peaking Patterns With and Without Peak Period Pricing – 37.5M High Fleet (Maximum 3-Hour Average) 3-16
3.2-2 Impacts of Peak Period Pricing on Operations and Runway Delays – 37.5M High Fleet 3-16
3.3-1 Aircraft Orders Placed by North American Passenger Carriers (1990 – 2000) 3-19
3.3-2 RJ Markets Served from Logan 3-19
3.3-3 Annual Passenger Operations by Regional Carriers at Logan (1998 – 2001) 3-20
3.5-1 Comparison of Logan and LaGuardia Demand Profiles 3-38
3.6-1 Percent Minority Population by 2000 Census Block 3-61
3.7-1 Average Runway-Related Delays by EIS Fleet Scenario and Percent Change Since 1998 - Minutes per Flight 3-70
3.7-2 Comparison of MITRE and EIS Capacity Analysis 3-72
3.8-1 Northwest Quadrant Restriction 3-79
3.8-2 Percentage of Time Winds are from the Northwest Quadrant at Various Wind Speeds 3-80
3.8-3 Annual Hours of Delay Reduction Relative to No Action – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet with Various Northwest Restrictions 3-81
3.8-4 Impacts of Various Northwest Wind Restrictions on Use of Runway 27 Runway 27 Share of Logan Jet Departures – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet 3-83
3.8-5 Impacts of Various Northwest Wind Restrictions on Use of Runway 33L Runway 33L Share of Logan Jet Departures – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet 3-84
3.8-6 Impacts of Various Northwest Wind Restrictions on Use of Over-the-Water Approaches - Runways 33L and 32 Share of Jet Arrivals – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet 3-85

3.8-7	Impacts of Various Northwest Wind Restrictions on Use of North/South Runways Share of Logan Jet Operations – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet	3-86
3.8-8	Impacts of Various Northwest Wind Restrictions on Annual PRAS Goal Performance PRAS Performance Index – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet	3-87
3.8-9	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 5-Knot Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-89
3.8-10	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-91
3.8-11	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 15-Knot Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-93
3.8-12	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 20-Knot Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-95
3.8-13	Northwest/Southeast Quadrant Restriction	3-106
3.8-14	Annual Hours of Delay Reduction – 2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction	3-107
3.8-15	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction vs 10-Knot Northwest Wind Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-109
3.8-16	29M Low Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-111
3.8-17	2015 37.5M High RJ Fleet DNL Contours No Action vs. Unrestricted Preferred Alternative vs Preferred Alternative with a 10-Knot Northwest/Southeast Wind Restriction 60, 65, 70, and 75 dB DNL	3-119
3.9-1	“Hot Spots” with Increased Potential for Runway Incursions	3-136
3.9-2	Proposed Taxiway Improvements	3-139
3.11-1	Delay Modeling Approach	3-156
3.11-2	Logan Airside Passenger and Fleet Forecasts	3-157
3.11-3	Alternate Configurations for Northwest Operations with Arrivals on 32	3-160
3.12-1	Comparison of Various Measures of Average Delay at Logan in 1998	3-162
4.2-1	"Good Neighbor" Policy on Taxiway November Queues	4-12